

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

A1 S93/059	(43) International Publication Date: 20 January 1994 (20.01.94
\$93/059	
(28.06.	DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, P
1	Published JS With international search report. With amended claims.
5 Fairvi	He
ds Cent	
	ND COIngton, I Fairvi Pont ds Center S).

TAL ACCUMULATING PLANTS

(57) Abstract

It has been found that Ambrosia sp. and Apocynum sp. accumulate lead in the leaves, stems, and roots when it grows in soil containing organic or inorganic species of lead. Lead is accumulated in the leaves and stems to a greater extent than in most other plants. Lead can be economically recovered from contaminated soil and sludge by harvesting Ambrosia sp. or Apocynum sp. grown in media containing high concentrations of lead.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
ĀŪ	Australia	GÃ	Gabon	MW	
BB	Barbados	GB	United Kingdom		Malawi
BE.	Belgium			NB	Niger
		GN	Guinsa	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	NZ	New Zealand
B.J	Benin	IB	Ireland	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	IT	lialy	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	JP	Japan	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic		of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	Li	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovak Republic
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Scnegal
CN	China	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LV	Latvia	TC	Togo
cz	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco .	UA	Ukraino
DE	Germany	MG	Madagascar	us	United States of America
DK	Denmark	ML	Mali	UZ.	Uzbekistan
RS	Spain	MN	Mongolia	VN	Vict Nam
521	Finland		-		

5

30

35

1

TITLE

A METHOD OF OBTAINING LEAD AND ORGANOLEAD FROM CONTAMINATED MEDIA USING METAL ACCUMULATING PLANTS FIELD OF INVENTION

The invention relates to the use of green plants which have the capacity to accumulate high levels of lead in their tissues to remediate contaminated soil and water. The invention relates specifically to the use of Ambrosia sp. (ragweed) and Apocynum sp. (dogbane) in this capacity and to the remediation of lead and lead containing (organolead) compounds.

BACKGROUND

In recent years contamination of soil and ground
water with heavy metals has been identified as a serious
environmental hazard. Heavy metals are known to be
toxic to most wildlife and man in relatively low
concentrations. Elements such as lead, platinum,
mercury, cadmium, cobalt, zinc, tin, arsenic, and
chromium are used in many industrial applications and
often significant levels of these metals are found in
industrial waste streams. Heavy metals are also found
in organic form such as organoarsenic and organotin,
used as pesticides or herbicides, as well as nickel
tetracarbonyl and tetraethyllead produced as by-products
of the petroleum industry.

A number of methods have been described to remediate soil and ground water containing toxic chemicals. These methods primarily focus on concentration and removal or containment of contaminated media or on the use of microbes to enzymatically transform toxins to inert forms. Revis et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,826,602) claim that contacting aqueous waste with a culture of Pseudomonas maltophilica ATCC 53510 will reduce the concentration of ionic species of

heavy metals. Colaruotolo et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,511,657) teach the use of specially adapted microbial cultures to treat obnoxious waste, especially halogenated organic chemical waste (U.S. Patent No. 4,493,895).

The use of bioreactors and in-situ stimulation of indigenous microflora are two current approaches to the decontamination of soil and ground water. Bioreactors have been designed to utilize microorganisms for the 10 bioremediation of a variety of toxic contaminants, including trichloroethylene, phenol and toluene. (Folsom et al. 1991 Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 57:1602-1608). In-situ bioremediation involves the growth of indigenous, contaminant-degrading microorganisms which are enhanced by adding nutrients and oxygen. Raymond (U.S. Patent No. 3,846,290 and U.S. Patent No. 4,588,506) teaches a process in which oxygen and nutrients are supplied to biota for stimulating the biooxidation of hydrocarbons contaminating ground water without the addition of microorganisms to the 20 contaminated environment.

The methods cited above are useful and clearly show that microorganisms can be used to remove toxic compounds, from both soil and aqueous environments. There are, however, several disadvantages to the methods 25 outlined in the existing art. Examples given in the art describe decontamination of the environment using specific naturally occurring, or genetically engineered cultures of bacteria or yeast or the preliminary harsh chemical treatment of toxic contaminants prior to 30 biological treatment by indigenous microbes. isolation or engineering, culturing and inoculation of specific microorganisms particularly selected for the degradation of specific organic contaminants is labor intensive and time consuming. Bioreactors can allow for 35

10

effective microbial growth with greater control over nutrient addition, temperature, pH, and concentration, however, in bioremediation projects, materials must be pumped out or excavated and soils must be handled and sorted which is also labor intensive. Bioremediation efforts that utilize in-situ methods have been effective in degrading certain toxic compounds, however, they have not addressed the specific problem of metal and organometal contamination. Many of the problems associated with these techniques have provided the incentive to look to the use of green plants for simpler and more economically attractive means of remediating soil and ground water of heavy metal species.

3

It has been know for some time that many plant 15 species will concentrate certain metals in their leaves, stems and roots to a varying degree. (Baker et al., Ecophysiology of Metal Uptake by Tolerant Plants In: "Heavy Metal Tolerance in Plants: Evolutionary Aspects" A. J. Shaw (ed.) CRC Press (1989)) teach that a 20 green plant's response to a metalliferous environment ranges from active exclusion of the metallic species to tolerance to accumulation and even hyperaccumulation where concentrations may approach greater than 1% of plant dry matter. The phenomenon of accumulation and 25 hyperaccumulation of metals by plants has been demonstrated over a wide range of plant families and to date it has not been possible to predict which plants of which families will function as metal accumulators and/or hyperaccumulators. Further complicating the 30 issue is the fact that plants that might be classified as hyperaccumulators of one metal species may be barely tolerant of another. Hence the phenomenon is specific not only for plant type but also for metal species. (Baker et al., Ecophysiology of Metal Uptake by Tolerant Plants In: "Heavy Metal Tolerance in Plants:

4

Evolutionary Aspects" A. J. Shaw (ed.) CRC Press (1989))
For example various species of Alyssum are known to be hyperaccumulators of nickle reaching levels of 13400 ugNi/g but do not appear to be hyperaccumulators of other metals. Thlaspi sp. on the other hand demonstrate hyperaccumulation of a variety of metals including nickle, zinc, and lead. To date the plant that has shown the greatest ability to accumulate lead is Thlaspi rotundifloium attaining levels of 8200 ugPb/g dry weight of the plant. (Baker et al., Ecophysiology of Metal Uptake by Tolerant Plants In: "Heavy Metal Tolerance in Plants: Evolutionary Aspects", A. J. Shaw (ed.) CRC Press (1989)) A summary of many of the known hyperaccumulators is included in Baker et. al.,

Terrestrial high plants which hyperaccumulate metallic elements - a review of their distribution, ecology and phytochemistry, Biorecovery, 1, 81, (1989) herein incorporated by reference.

The work surrounding the studies of accumulation 20 and hyperaccumulation of metals by plants has been focused in the areas of using these plants as indicators of metal contamination and as study models to prevent toxic metal accumulation in food crops. The concept of utilizing the accumulating phenomena as a tool to extract metals from a contaminated environment was 25 discussed by R. L. Chaney, Plant uptake of Organic waste constituents In: "Land Treatment of Hazardous Wastes", Parr et al. (ed.) Noyes Data Corporation New Jersey (1983). Chaney notes that hyperaccumulators of nickle, 30 and copper are known to accumulate these metals to as much as 1% of dry plant weight and suggests that they might be used to bioconcentrate these metals from land treatment sites. Chaney, however does not teach a method for accomplishing the bioconcentration.

Takashi Utsunomiya (JP 57000190) teaches the use of various plants including those of the genera Polygonaceae, to remove heavy metals and particularly cadmium and mercury from contaminated soil by the steps of cultivating the plants in the contaminated soil, and removing the plants from said soil after the plants have reached a certain stage of growth. Utsunomiya also teaches a poorly defined link between the presence of certain glycoside compounds in these plants and their ability to accumulate the desired metals. Utsunomiya also anticipates the use of these plants in hydroponic systems to remediate aqueous environments of metal contamination. The invention of Utsunomiya does not teach the use of these plants to concentrate organic or inorganic lead species. Furthermore the plants used by Utsunomiya accumulate metals only to levels of less than 100 ppm, putting them outside the class of plants considered to be significant metal accumulators and calling into question the practical utility of these plants to concentrate toxic metals.

10

15

20

M. Rogmans (DE 3921336) also teaches a process for the use of Polygonum sp. to remediate soil of liquid soluble contaminants including cadmium, lead, and zinc. Rogmans also teaches the production of a heavy metal resistant strain of Polygonium sp. via the selection of high metal capacity cell lines and the regeneration of these cells to form a new, high metal resistant plant. Rogmans does not teach the use of Ambrosia sp. or Apocynum sp. for this purpose.

Menser, H. A. et al., <u>Environmental Pollution</u>
18(2), 87-95, (1979) describe the analysis of several plants, including common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*) and smartweed (*Polygonum pennsylvanicum*) isolated from a municipal landfill for concentrations of various heavy metals including Mn, Cu, Co, Cr, and Pb.

The highest concentration of Pb that was recorded for either plant was 3.68 ppm dry weight of the plant.

Mense does not teach accumulation or hyperaccumulation of lead by ragweed or dogbane.

6

5 Ideally, requirements for a plant to be used for the purpose of remediating soil, water, and other contaminated media from heavy metals would be that it should be an accumulator of the desired heavy metal (i.e., be able to accumulate levels of at least 10 1000 mg/kg in the above ground tissues), be a hardy plant that will withstand a broad range of weather and environmental conditions and that it be fast growing to permit harvesting of several crops per growing season. Additionally, some benefit may be accrued by the plant being easily adapted to hydroponic growth conditions. 15 It is the object to the present invention to provide the members of the genera Ambrosia (ragweed) and Apocynum (Dogbane) as such plants and to provide a process for the remediation of contaminated soil, water and other 20 media of lead and organolead compounds.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention relates to a method of remediating soil, water, and other media contaminated with various species of organic and inorganic forms of lead from said lead by a process comprising the steps of:

25

30

- (i) growing one of more Ambrosia sp. or Apocynum sp. plants in a medium containing organic or inorganic lead species under conditions suitable for growth of Ambrosia sp. or Apocynum sp. for a time sufficient for plants parts to accumulate lead;
- (ii) harvesting the plants from the medium; and(iii) concentrating the lead.

WO 94/01367

10

25

30

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

In the context of this disclosure and claims a number of terms are utilized.

The term "contaminated media" refers to wastewater, soil, sediment, sludge, or composted materials, or any plant medium that contains higher than regionally ambient levels of heavy metals, and particularly lead.

The term "hydroponic" refers to the cultivation of plants in water containing dissolved inorganic nutrients, rather than in soil.

The term "hydroponic solution" will refer to any liquid media used to maintain plant growth in a hydroponic system.

The term "hydroponic systems" refers to any method of growing plants hydroponically that incorporates a fluid filled reservoir containing a place where plants may be inserted such that the plant roots remain in constant contact with the fluid and where said reservoir contains both an intake port and an outflow port wherein said fluid may be circulated through the reservoir at varying or constant flow rates.

The term "accumulator" refers to any green plant that has the capacity to accumulate heavy metals in the leaves and stems in excess of 100 mgM/kg (dry weight of plant tissue) where M is a species of heavy metal.

The term "contaminant" refers to any toxic species found in the contaminated media consisting of heavy metals, including elemental and ionic species of said heavy metals, and related organometal complexes.

The term "side dressing" refers to application of nutrients to growing plants as opposed to broadcasting the nutrients over the field surface.

The term "plant parts" refers to any part of the plant that may accumulate heavy metals comprising the

leaves, the stems, flowers, fruits, seeds, and the roots.

The term "hyperaccumulator" refers to any plant that is capable of accumulating a metal species to levels equal to or greater than 10,000 mg/kg (1%) of its dry weight.

The term "organolead" refers to any compound which contains both lead and carbon substituents. "Organic lead" and "organic lead species" have the same intended meaning.

10

25

30

35

The present invention provides a method of remediating soil, groundwater, waste water, water treatment sludge, and composted material contaminated with various organic or inorganic species of lead by growing members of the Ambrosia genus (ragweed) or Apocynum (dogbane) genus in crop form and allowing the plants to accumulate the lead species into the leaves and stem portions of the plants. Plants are harvested and the lead species is processed for environmentally acceptable recovery or disposal.

Any Ambrosia sp. may be used including A. Bidentata (lanceleaf ragweed), A. tomemtosa (skeletonleaf bursage), A. grayi (woollyleaf bursage), A. trifida (giant ragweed), and A. psilostachya (western ragweed). However, common ragweed, A. elatior or A. artemisiifolia, is most preferred. Any Apocynum sp. may be used including Apocynum androsaemifolium (Spreading Dogbane) but Apocynum cannabinum (Hemp Dogbane or Indian Dogbane) is most preferred. Ragweed or dogbane may be grown from seed in a crop setting or immature plants may be used. Conditions for the growth of the plants may vary, however, most preferred are conditions that permit the maximum amount of lead to be accumulated in the harvestable parts of the plants in the shortest period of time.

Many factors need to be considered when preparing a contaminated site for remediation by plant metal accumulators. In general an in-depth assessment of the site will be needed to determine the problems to be overcome before remediation can begin. Depth of the contaminant must be within the effective rooting depth of the plant in question, or must be brought within that depth by physical means. The effective rooting depth is dependent on plant genotype, environmental factors (such as water and nutrient availability and placement) and physical or chemical limitations within a soil profile. Concentration of the contaminant, is also important and an evaluation of the regulatory limit and time allowable for remediation becomes a factor when considering the cost and feasibility of remediation in this manner. 15 Presence of other materials requiring remediation and their effect on plant life as well as recommended human exposure levels, and environmental risks associated with their presence and handling are also factors to be 20 considered.

Included in the initial assessment of the site must be the evaluation of various physical and chemical characteristics of the contaminated media. The media must be capable of supporting plant growth or be made 25 capable of doing so. This constraint has implications for bulk density, permeability, shear strength, waterholding capacity, oxygen permeability, and variability in both horizontal and vertical profiles. Bringing the physical nature of the materials to be remediated into 30 compliance with these requirements may involve the addition of bulking agents (organic matter, sludges, manures, etc.), chemical components (calcium sulfate, lime, sulfur, etc.), or physically disturbing the soil surface by mechanical means.

Various aspects of soil chemistry will also affect the effectiveness of the remediation process and preferred conditions will maximize both the growth rate of the plants and the bio-availability of lead in the soil. In the case of lead remediation the preferred pH of the soil is in a range of pH5 - pH8. Phosphate is a requirement for ragweed or dogbane growth and soil is amended to maintain phosphate concentrations at levels compatible with plant growth. Addition of phosphate, however, must be monitored with respect to lead solubility in the soil, since high phosphate concentrations will encourage the formation of lead phosphate which will cause lead to precipitate and decrease the amount of soluble lead available to the plant. In a similar fashion, alkaline soil cannot be rapidly acidified since this would cause a rapid increase in the amount of available soluble lead and could lead to plant toxicity or leaching of the contaminant into the groundwater. Regulation of soil chemistry to maximize lead bio-availability and minimize plant toxicity involves a process of measuring lead concentration in the contaminated media and altering the soil chemistry accordingly. (Soil Testing and Plant Analysis, R. L. Westerman (ed.), Soil Science of America, Inc., Madison (1990) and Methods of Soil Analysis, Parts 1 and 2, Chemical and Microbiological

10

15

20

25

Growing the ragweed or dogbane crop will entail
fertilizing and watering the plants in a manner
commensurate with good plant growth. A plant pest
control program may also be employed to control various
microbial plant pathogens, nematodes, insects, weeds,
herbivores and the like. Harvesting of the plants may
be accomplished in a variety of ways. Plants may be

Properties, A. L. Page (ed.) Soil Science Society of

America Inc., Madison (1982))

harvested one or more times during the growing season, or they may be left unharvested for several years and the dead and living organic matter collected and processed together. The manner of harvesting will depend on the nature and severity of the contamination at the site to be remediated and will be governed by economic and regulatory factors. The most preferred manner of harvesting will be to harvest plant parts one or more times over the course of a growing season such that the maximum amount of lead is obtained from the contaminated media in the shortest period of time.

10

20

25

Concentrating the lead from plants after harvesting may be accomplished either by direct smelting of the bulk plant matter or may incorporate a number of volume 15 reduction steps before the smelting process. Methods of reducing the bulk volume of the plant matter include incineration, anaerobic and aerobic digestion, acid. digestion or composting. The most preferred method of concentration is a method that involves one or more of the above mentioned volume reduction methods followed by direct smelting. Smelting of lead containing material is a technique well known in the art and variations on the method are given in Lead smelting and refining: its current status and future, M. Kazue, Lead-Zinc 1990, Proc. World Symp. Metall. Environ. Control 119th TMS Annu. Meet., 23-38 T. S. Mackey (ed.); Miner. Met. Mater. Soc.: Warrendale, PA (1990) herein incorporated by reference.

Another embodiment of the invention is the use of ragweed or dogbane in a hydroponic system to remediate 30 lead species from aqueous environments. In this embodiment the plant roots are in contact with the liquid media to be remediated. This may be accomplished by growing the plants in large hydroponic systems, by growing them on solid support systems (e.g., wire mesh,

peat, sand, or gravel) where the contaminated liquid is pumped to the plant roots, or by growing the plants on floating mats in contaminated pools or trapped water. Plant nutrients may be applied either directly to the contaminated water or may be applied foliarly. Care is needed when applying nutrients, and particularly phosphate so as not to reach concentrations that will precipitate the lead in the contaminated media. Regulation of nutrient concentrations is done empirically through a process of measurement of nutrient and lead concentration in the contaminated media and plant tissue and can be accomplished by means well known in the art.

Under laboratory conditions ragweed or dogbane seed 15 may be somewhat resistant to germination. It is often useful to treat the seeds prior to planting to facilitate germination. Many methods of encouraging seed germination are known including, treatment with plant growth regulators, nitrogenous compounds or respiratory inhibitors, soaking the seeds in acid to 20 permeate the seed coat and methods such as exposing the seed to extreme temperatures. Most preferred is a method involving treatment of the seed with concentrated sulfuric acid followed by rapid rinsing in copious amounts of deionized water and finally soaking the seeds 25 overnight in deionized water.

Plant and soil samples suspected of containing lead must be treated to release entrapped lead before lead concentrations can be determined. Many methods of releasing lead are known including ashing, acid digestion and others which are outlined in <u>Introduction to Microwave Sample Preparation: Theory and Practice</u>, H. M. Kingston (ed.) American Chemical Society, Washington (1988) and <u>Soil Testing and Plant Analysis</u>,

35 R. L. Westerman (ed.), Soil Science of America, Inc.,

30

Madison (1990). Most preferred is digestion in an open vessel microwave digester typified by the A-300 model manufactured by PROLABO (Questron Corp., Princeton NJ). Samples to be digested are subjected to acid treatment in conjunction with rapid heating from microwave radiation in order to release entrapped lead. Treatment with concentrated nitric acid followed by concentrated perchloric acid is most preferred.

There are many instrumental techniques available 10 for analysis of toxic heavy metals. Among the most commonly employed methods are flame and furnace atomic absorption spectrophotometery (AAS) and anode stripping voltametry and polarographic techniques. Most preferred is the method of inductively coupled atomic plasma spectroscopy (ICP) and the instruments most preferred 15 are those similar to the SPECTROFLAME-ICP (Spectro Analytical Instruments Inc., Fitchburg, MA). to accurately determine concentrations of lead by any spectroscopic method the method must incorporate some standard of similar organic composition and containing a 20 known amount of lead to be detected. Any suitable standard may be used however Pine Needle Standards and Buffalo River Sediment Standards containing known amounts of lead obtained from the National Institute of Science and Technology (NIST) are most preferred. Plant 25 tissue and sediment standards are subjected to acid digestion and analysis by ICP along with samples suspected of containing lead.

The following examples are meant to exemplify the invention but are not meant to limit the invention in any way.

EXAMPLES

EXAMPLE 1

Identification of Lead Accumulating Plants Plant and Soil Sample Digestion:

5 In order to determine lead concentration in plant tissue the tissue was first digested by the following method. Plant tissue suspected of containing lead was dried for 5 days at 80°C and ground using a Wiley Mill (size 10 mesh). 200 ug of ground plant tissue was placed in an A-300 Automatic Microwave Digester (AMD) 10 (PROLABO, (Questron Corp., Princeton NJ). The AMD added 10 ml concentrated nitric acid to the plant sample and 35% power was applied for 10 minutes. The AMD then added 5 ml of concentrated perchloric acid to the sample 15 and 35% power was applied for 5 minutes. This was immediately followed by an increase in power to 40% for 5 minutes. After digestion the samples were diluted 50 fold with 10% nitric acid, filtered through a 0.45 u filter and lead content was determined on the SPECTROFLAME-ICP (Spectro Analytical Instruments Inc. 20 Fitchburg, MA). Plant tissue standards obtained from the NIST were subjected to the same digestion protocol and ICP analysis and were used as standards for lead analysis. The NIST standard used for plant tissue was 25 Pine Needles, (lot number 1575) containing 10.8 mgPb/kg and were used as standards from which milligrams lead per kilogram of plant tissue (mgPb/kg) was calculated.

Lead bound in soil is more recalcitrant to digestion and requires an additional perchloric acid 30 step in the digestion procedure. Soil suspected of containing lead was air dried and 0.5 g samples were placed in the AMD. The AMD added 10 ml concentrated nitric acid to the samples and 35% power was applied for 10 minutes. This was followed by and immediate increase in power to 40% for 3 minutes. 5 ml of concentrated

35

perchloric acid was then added to the samples and the digester was maintained at 40% power for 8 min. This was followed by the addition of another 2 ml of perchloric acid for an additional 3 minutes at 40% power. After digestion the samples were diluted 50 fold with 10% nitric acid, filtered through a 0.45 u syringe filter and lead content was measured using the ICP. Buffalo River Sediment Standards (lot number 2704) obtained from the NIST containing 161 mgPb/kg were subjected to the same digestion protocol and ICP analysis as the soil samples and were used as standards from which milligrams lead per kilogram of plant tissue (mgPb/kg) was calculated.

Nutrient Media:

Low phosphate nutrient growth media was prepared using readily available nutrients. The composition is given below in Table I.

Н
띡
BI
1

2 liter bottle #	gms 2	gms nutrient added to the 2 liter stock bottle	Formula Weight of material	ml/l for final solution	Final Solution element	Final element (conc)	Micros	2
	0.32	KH ₂ PO ₄	136.09	1	a	0.010	FA	0 01
2	202.0	KNO3	101.1	н	×	1.5) <u>E</u>	? -
т	493.0	MgSO407 H20	246.5	1	င်	2.0	Ф	2 6
4	472.0	Ca (NO ₃) 2•4 H ₂ O	236.16	2	Mq	1.0	ı	, ,
ĸ	5.6 18.6	FeSO ₄ •7 H ₂ O Na ₂ EDTA•2 H ₂ O	278.02 372.24	-	, ∾	1.0	uz	1.0
Ģ	74.6	RC1	74.55	-	z	5.0	Ŏ X	0
7	0.124	H ₃ BO ₃	61.84	 4	ਹ	5.0	Ż	
	0.338	MnSO4 H2O	169.01		}	2	: ප	0.13
1-7 in all	0.576	ZnSO4*7 H2O	287.54				EDTA	25.0
nutrient	0.100	CuSO4.5 H2O	249.75 143.94				P.P	4.8
solutions	0.576	MoO ₃	237.93					
	0.060	CoC12*6 H20	237.71					
•	090.0	NiCl2•6 H2O						
&	3.2	Pb $(NO_3)_2$ $(62.5\% Pb by wt.)$ $(1 q/1. Pb = 1000 pcm)$	331.20 MW Pb 207.2	-				

17

Identification of Lead Accumulator Plants:

25

100 different plant samples from a site contaminated with lead were collected at random by removing leaves and stems from each plant. Leaves and 5 stems from each plant sample were dried for 5 days at. 80°C, and ground using a Wiley Mill with a size 10 mesh screen. After grinding, 200 ug samples were digested using the procedure outlined above. After digestion lead content was determined using the ICP and the data 10 are tabulated in Table II. As can been seen from the data, only two species (Ambrosia sp. (Ragweed) and Apocynum (Dogbane)) could be identified as potential accumulators of lead. Ragweed had levels of 839 total mgPb/kg and had values of over 2000 mgPb/kg in the 15 roots. Hemp dogbane attained levels of 349 mgPb/kg in the above ground parts of the plant. Of all the other plants tested for lead levels in this initial screen most were not taxonomically identified and only a sample have data tabulated in Table II. However, none of the 20 unidentified plants had confirmed lead levels any higher than 10-15 mgPb/kg in any sample analyzed. On the basis of this data ragweed was identified as having the most potential for remediation of lead from contaminated media.

TABLE II

Date	Field Number	Plant Type	ICP Number	Dilution Factor	Standard Curve Range	Total mgPb/kg
8/30/91	56-3, leaf and stem	ragweed	17.2	50	0-20	839
9/14/91	bottom leaf	common ragweed	9.27	50	0-20	434
9/14/91	bottom stem	common ragweed	10.4	50	0-20	490
9/14/91	roots	common ragweed	43.1	50	0-20	2125
9/14/91	roots	common ragweed	42.9	50	0-20	2115
8/29/91	94, leaf and stem	hemp dogbane	7.14	50	0-20	349
8/27/91	10, leaf and stem		-20.3	50	0-1000	0
8/27/91	41, leaf and stem		-12.8	50	0-1000	0
8/27/91	61, leaf and stem		-6.65	50	0-1000	0
8/28/91	84, leaf and stem		0.643	50	0-20	7
8/27/91	9, leaf and stem		-18.6	50	0-1000	0
8/27/91	29, leaf and stem		-9.44	50	0-1000	0
8/27/91	37, leaf and stem		-6.81	50	0-1000	0
8/27/91	44, leaf and stem		- 5.38	50	0-1000	0
8/27/91	54, leaf and stem		-8.35	50	0-1000	0
8/27/91	55, leaf and stem		-9.91	50	0-1000	0
8/27/91	59, leaf and stem		-12.3	50	0-1000	0

19

TABLE II (cont'd.)

Date	Field Number	Plant Type	ICP Number	Dilution Factor	Standard Curve Range	Total mgPb/kg
8/27/91	66, leaf and stem		-16.5	50	0-1000	0
8/27/91	67, leaf and stem	•	-5.93	50	0-1000	0
8/27/91	70, leaf and stem		-10.4	50	0-1000	0
8/27/91	72, leaf and stem		-10	50	0-1000	0
8/27/91	76, leaf and stem		-7.37	50	0-1000	0
8/27/91	77, leaf and stem		-14.9	50	0-1000	0

EXAMPLE 2

Lead Accumulation in Ragweed from Lead

Contaminated Soil Samples

Ragweed Seed Germination:

5

15

Ragweed seed may be resistant to germination and in order to increase the germination rate of the seed under laboratory conditions the following treatment was used. Ragweed seeds were soaked in concentrated sulfuric acid

for 30 minutes. The seeds were then rinsed in a rapidly flowing bath of deionized water for 1 minute followed by soaking in 500 ml deionized water overnight. At the end of this procedure seeds were planted under normal incubation conditions.

Lead Accumulation from Lead Contaminated Soil:

Soil samples were collected from a lead contaminated site and analyzed for lead content according to the procedure outlined in Example 1. Lead content in the soil from the contaminated site was determined to be 7500 mgPb/kg. The soil from the contaminated site was air dried at room temperature. Ragweed seedlings, germinated by the above procedure and

grown in uncontaminated soil were then transplanted to the contaminated soil and watered daily with a low phosphate nutrient medium defined in Table I. An identical set of seedlings were transplanted in METROMIX potting soil (Grace/Sierra Horticultural Products Co. Milpitas, CA) containing no lead as indicators of normal plant growth. All plants were grown for 30 days in a PGR-15 growth chamber (Conviron Corp., Asheville, NC) under conditions of a 16 hr day at a day temperature of 10 25°C and a night temperature of 20°C where light intensity was 980 uEinsteins/m²/sec. After 30 days the plants were harvested and lead concentrations were determined in the stems and leaves of the plants as described in Example 1. Data showing lead accumulation in the leaves and stems are tabulated below in Table III. Visual inspection of the plants grown in lead contaminated soil and those grown in the lead-free METROMIX potting soil indicated that there were no obvious ill effects on the health or growth of the 20 plants grown in lead contaminated soil.

TABLE III

Plant Type	Plant Part	Total mgPb/kg
Ragweed	Leaves	1251
Ragweed	Upper Stem	7.75
Ragweed	Lower Stem	218

The data indicate that ragweed is capable of accumulating 1251 mg Pb/kg from the soil into the leaf tissue.

EXAMPLE 3

Comparison of Lead Accumulation by Ragweed, Dogbane and Sugarbeet in a Hydroponic System

- Ragweed, sugarbeet and hemp dogbane plants were

 placed in two, 2 gallon hydroponic perfused systems
 containing the low phosphate nutrient media described in
 Table I. A bubbler tube from a pump was inserted which
 served to provide oxygen to the system and mix the
 fluid. Experimental plants received a constant level of
- 10 1 mgPb/kg in the nutrient solution while the control plants had no Pb in the nutrient solution. Experimental and control plants were grown for 30 days under identical conditions in a PGR-15 growth chamber (Conviron Corp., Asheville, NC) of 16 hr day length,
- 25°C day time temperature, 20°C night time temperature and at a light intensity of 980 uEinsteins/m²/sec. Perfusion of the nutrient media was begun at 8 ml/hr in both systems. The perfusion flow rate was increased to 15 ml/hr on day 11 and increased again from 15 ml/hr to
- 30 ml/hr on day 19. The lead concentration in the bulk experimental system was maintained at 1 mgPb/kg over the life of the experiment. Outflow from both systems was collected for lead analysis. At the end of 30 days the plants were harvested and leaves, stems and roots were
- 25 analyzed for lead content. Data is tabulated below in Table IV.

TABLE IV
Experimental

Plant Type	Plant Part	Total mgPb/kg
Dogbane	Leaves	0.92
Dogbane	Stems	4.10
Dogbane	Roots	68.20
Sugarbeet	Leaves	10.04
Sugarbeet	Roots	79.76
Ragweed	Leaves	4.76
Ragweed	Stems	22.84
Ragweed	Roots	46.92
	Control	
Plant Type	Plant Part	Total mgPb/kg
Plant Type Dogbane		Total mgPb/kg
	Plant Part	
Dogbane	Plant Part Leaves	0
Dogbane Dogbane	Plant Part Leaves Stems	o 0
Dogbane Dogbane Sugarbeet	Plant Part Leaves Stems Leaves	0 0 0.64
Dogbane Dogbane Sugarbeet Sugarbeet	Plant Part Leaves Stems Leaves Roots	0 0 0.64 0.32

As can be seen from the data Ragweed accumulates 37.0% of total accumulated lead in the leaves and stems whereas sugarbeet accumulates only 11.2% and hemp dogbane accumulates 6.8%. Although sugarbeet tended to accumulate more total lead than either of the other two plants, the lead was concentrated in the fine root system. Thus ragweed is the most preferred accumulator since it demonstrates a 3 to 5 fold greater ability to accumulate lead in the above ground harvestable parts of the plant.

23

EXAMPLE 4

Accumulations of Organolead by Ragweed

Water collected from a lead contaminated site was analyzed for lead concentration and was found to contain 14.92 mgPb/kg total lead of which 10.08 mgPb/kg was organolead. Organolead concentrations were determined in the following manner. Water suspected of containing organolead was filtered through a 0.45 u Gelman syringe filter and the pH was adjusted to 9.0 by titrating with NaOH. At this pH inorganic lead precipitates out of solution. The solution was again filtered through a 0.45 u Gelman syringe filter and lead concentration was determined using the ICP.

Two hydroponic systems, an experimental system and a control system were set up to test the ability of ragweed to accumulate lead species from water contaminated with organolead. The experimental system contained five ragweed seedlings whereas the control system contained no plants. At time 0 hr both systems were perfused with a low phosphate nutrient medium (Table I) containing no lead at a constant flow rate of 30 ml/hr.

Over the course of the experiment the lead contaminated water was introduced into both the control and experimental hydroponic systems and the hydroponic solution in each system was analyzed at periodic intervals for lead content (Table V). The experiment was run for 113 hours at room temperature under growth conditions of 16 hr days and under 100% fluorescent light. At the end of 113 hr the plants were harvested and the roots, leaves, and stems were analyzed for lead content according to the procedure of Example 1. Data are tabulated in Table VI.

5

TABLE V

time-hr	Control/total mgPb/kg in soln	Experimental/Total mgPb/kg in soln	<pre>% Reduction of lead by Exp. over control</pre>
0	0	0	0%
15	4.15	1.50	64%
40	9.28	2.81	70%
46	9.59	3.40	64%
66	9.99	3.60	64%
91	9.80	3.38	66%
113	9.91/Organic 9.13 (91%)	3.36/Organic 1.70 (51%)	66% 81% org. lead. absorbed

*At time 0 hr lead contaminated water had and initial total mgPb/kg of 14.92, 10.8 mgPb/kg was determined to be organolead.

As seen in Table V, the ragweed plants are responsible for a 64% reduction in lead content of the contaminated water sample after only 15 hr, and that 10 level of reduction was maintained over the life of the experiment. At the end of the experiment both total lead and organolead concentrations were determined on the control and experimental hydroponic solutions. both cases measurements of total lead corresponded to 15 concentrations of organolead indicating that organolead was being remediated from the hydroponic solution. Data on the analysis of the lead content of the roots, leaves, and stems given in Table VI indicate that accumulation of lead by the plant was responsible for a decrease in lead concentration of the contaminated water 20 sample.

TABLE VI

Plant Type	Plant Part	Total mgPb/kg
Ragweed	Leaves and Stems	25.36
Ragweed	Roots	418.40

Thus the use of ragweed is effective in the remediation of lead from liquid media.

5

EXAMPLE 5

Remediation of a Lead Contaminated Site with Ragweed or Dogbane Crop

Site Preparation:

10 The site to be remediated is prepared by removing most of the non metal accumulating vegetation by physical, chemical, or flame methods known to most in the farming community. Soil is physically disturbed, so as to introduce the seeds or seedlings. This physical 15 disturbance may be done either over the entire site, or only in the immediate area of the planting. physical disturbance is accomplished by a number of mechanical and manual methods common in farming and gardening. Soil amendments are applied prior to this 20 operation although in some instances these amendments are applied at planting or as a "side dressing" as the plant grows. The surface of the field is left relatively flat except where physical features such as ridges, furrows, or contours are needed to facilitate 25 water retention, or dispersion.

Planting:

Planting of ragweed or dogbane seeds, embryos, seedlings or transplants is done either manually or with the aid of machinery designed for such purposes. Depth of planting will very according to seed size and soil texture in a manner well understood by those familiar

with agriculture and gardening practises. Soil amendments, such as fertilizer additions, are placed in, beside, or under the planting trench, furrow, or hole immediately prior, during, or after the planting process.

26

Growing/Maintenance:

The ragweed or dogbane plants are fertilized and watered as appropriate. The timing and nature of these applications are based on soil conditions as well as 10 visual plant health clues such as color, height, shape, and turgor pressure. The timing and nature of these additions may take into account recommendations based on leaf tissue elemental analysis. These processes are familiar to state extension agriculturalists and many in 15 the farming community. A plant-pest control program will be employed. This will include control of insect, nematode, plant pathogens, weeds and herbivores. Recommendations for the elimination or control of specific plant pests may be obtained from local 20 agricultural extension agents, agricultural product distributors and numerous books and magazines. Harvesting:

Plants are harvested one or more times during the growing season. The plants are harvested in a manner so as to remove the maximum amount of lead obtainable with harvesting equipment. This includes, cropping techniques and equipment familiar to farmers raising crops intended for use as silage, hay, fodder, straw, grain, sugar, or biomass.

30 Post-harvest Processing:

Post-harvest processing of the material includes one or more steps that will result in the environmentally acceptable reclamation or disposal of the lead in the plant tissue. The harvested bio-mass is processed directly by a smelter of a design consistent

with handling large volumes of combustible materials of low bulk density, containing lead. In the event that a pre-processing step is needed to increase lead concentration, and bulk density, as well as reduce total 5 volume the following is used. Concentration of the bio-mass is accomplished by processes including aerobic digestion (e.g., a compost pile), anaerobic digestion (e.g., enclosed tank), incineration (e.g., ashing), grinding, chopping, pelleting, or chemical (wet) digestion (acid treatment). Yields:

It is expected that one acre of ragweed or dogbane will produce between 15 and 30 tons of dry weight bio-mass per year. It is also expected that as much as 0.2% to 2% of that dry weight will constitute 15 accumulated lead. This will result in the remediation of about 60 lb to 1200 lb of lead per acre per year from contaminated soil.

10

25

From the foregoing description, one skilled in the art can easily ascertain the essential characteristics 20 of this invention and, without departing from the spirit and scope thereof, can make various changes and modifications to the invention to adapt it to various usages and conditions.

5

10

CLAIMS

- 1. A method of obtaining lead from a medium containing lead, comprising:
 - (i) growing one of more Ambrosia sp. or Apocynum sp. plants in a medium containing organic or inorganic lead species under conditions suitable for growth of Ambrosia sp. or Apocynum sp. for a time sufficient for plants parts to accumulate lead;
 - (ii) harvesting the plants from the medium; and
 - (iii) concentrating the lead.
- 2. A method according to Claim 1 wherein the medium is liquid medium, solid medium, semi-solid medium or a combination thereof.
- 3. A method according to Claim 1 further comprising adding to the medium nutrients necessary for plant growth.
 - 4. A method according to Claim 2 wherein the medium is soil, sludge, or compost.
- 5. A method according to Claim 1 wherein the harvesting is carried out on plant parts.
 - 6. A method according to Claim 5 wherein a sufficient portion of the plant remains after harvesting to continue plant growth.
- 7. A method according to Claim 1 wherein concentration of the lead is carried out by dehydrating, incinerating, smelting, aerobic digesting, or anaerobic digesting of the plant parts.
- 8. A method according to Claim 1 wherein lead is accumulated at a concentration of from about 100 mgPb/kg to about 8000 mgPb/kg dry weight of the plant.
 - 9. A method according to Claim 1 wherein the Ambrosia sp. or Apocynum sp. are harvested two or more times.

29

10. A method of obtaining lead from a medium containing lead, comprising:

5

10

(i) growing one of more Ambrosia sp. or Apocynum sp. plants in a medium containing organic or inorganic lead species under conditions suitable for growth of Ambrosia sp. or Apocynum sp. for a time sufficient for plants parts to accumulate lead; and

(ii) harvesting the plants from the medium.

5

AMENDED CLAIMS

[received by the International Bureau on 5 November 1993 (05.11.93); original claims 1 and 10 amended; remaining claims unchanged (2 pages)]

- 1. A method of obtaining lead from a medium containing lead, comprising:
 - (i) growing one or more Ambrosia sp. or Apocynum sp. plants in a medium containing organic or inorganic lead species under conditions suitable for growth of Ambrosia sp. or Apocynum sp. for a time sufficient for plants parts to accumulate lead;
- 10 (ii) harvesting the plants from the medium; and
 - (iii) concentrating the lead.
 - 2. A method according to Claim 1 wherein the medium is liquid medium, solid medium, semi-solid medium or a combination thereof.
- 3. A method according to Claim 1 further comprising adding to the medium nutrients necessary for plant growth.
 - 4. A method according to Claim 2 wherein the medium is soil, sludge, or compost.
- 5. A method according to Claim 1 wherein the harvesting is carried out on plant parts.
 - 6. A method according to Claim 5 wherein a sufficient portion of the plant remains after harvesting to continue plant growth.
- 7. A method according to Claim 1 wherein concentration of the lead is carried out by dehydrating, incinerating, smelting, aerobic digesting, or anaerobic digesting of the plant parts.
- 8. A method according to Claim 1 wherein lead is accumulated at a concentration of from about 100 mgPb/kg to about 8000 mgPb/kg dry weight of the plant.
 - 9. A method according to Claim 1 wherein the Ambrosia sp. or Apocynum sp. are harvested two or more times.

- 10. A method of accumulating lead from a medium containing lead, comprising:
 - (i) growing one or more Ambrosia sp. or Apocynum sp. plants in a medium containing organic or inorganic lead species under conditions suitable for growth of Ambrosia sp. or Apocynum sp. for a time sufficient for plants parts to accumulate lead; and

(ii) harvesting the plants from the medium.

10

5

A MATER RESEARCH Documentation, "With its indication, where appropriate, of the relocate passages 17 A MATER RESEARCH MISSINGATION OF SUBJECT AND TO BE RELEVANT* Category* A MATER RESEARCH A MATER RESEARCH A MATER RESEARCH Vol. 24, no. 2, February 1990, OXFORD GB pages 177 - 183 JAIN, S.K. ET AL. see page 177, column 2, paragraph 2- 3 ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION PARKING, ESSEX, ERGIAND PAGES BY DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT With its nor "A" decayant which may there denote no effect the treatment of the application of the application of the application of the application of the repetits record to a percent of the treatment of the pages 177 - 183 AND TO SUBJECT OF THE PARKING OF COLUMN 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	(3	•		international Application No	CT/US 93/05996
Int.C1. 5 CO2F3/32; C22B13/00 Int.C1. 5 CO2F; C22B Demonstration Searched Testification Symbols Int.C1. 5 CO2F; C22B Demonstration Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched* Int.C1. 5 CO2F; C22B Demonstration Searched Testification Symbols Int.C1. 5 CO2F; C22B Demonstration Searched* Int.C1. 5 CO2F; C22B Demonstration Searched* Referent to Claim No. Category* Cluston of Document, 11 with indication, where appropriate, of the referent passages 12 A WATER RESEARCH, vol. 2, February 1990, OXFORD GB pages 177 - 183 JAIN,S.K. ET AL. see page 177, column 2, paragraph 2- 3 ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION vol. 18, no. 2, February 1979, BARKING, ESSEX, ENGLAND pages 87 - 95 MENSER, H.A. ET AL. cited in the application see page 88, last paragraph - page 89, Paragraph 1 -/ * Special categories of died documents; 10 * A document defining the general rate of the art which is not considered to a cellular despident died another volice is claim to exclusive the substitute substitute to a cellular the substitute to a cellular the substitute to a cellular the substitute to provide a claim of invention and the categories for chance the exclusive to a special categories for the substitute to a cellular the substitute to a claim of invention another of the substitute to a claim of invention and the categories are inventive to a perion stilled international for the substitute to a claim of invention and the categories are inventive to a perion stilled international foundation being objection to a perion stilled international foundation being objection to a perion stilled international foundation being objection to a perion stilled international Search Beyont 15. 19. 93 International Searching Authorited Officer	I. CLASSII	FICATION OF SUBJ	ECT MATTER (if poveral classification	n symbols apply, indicate all) ⁶	
Classification System Classification Symbols Int.Cl. 5 CO2F; C2ZB Decomentation Searched other than Militium Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Flaids Searched* III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT* Chingery* Citation of Document, 11 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12 A MATER RESEARCH. vol. 24, no. 2, February 1990, OXFORD GB pages 177 - 183 JAIN, S.K. ET AL. see page 177, column 2, paragraph 2- 3 ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION vol. 18, no. 2, February 1979, BARKING, ESSEX, ENGLAND pages 87 - 95 MENSER, H.A. ET AL. cited in the application see page 88, last paragraph - page 89, paragraph 1 -/ ***Comment efficient give the the present of the service of the ser	According Int.Cl	to International Paten . 5 CO2F3/32		al Classification and IPC	•
Int.Cl. 5 CO2F; C22B Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Flaidt Searched* Int.Cl. 5 CO2F; C22B Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Flaidt Searched* III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT* Clargory* Citation of Document, It with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12 Relevant to Claim No. 1. 24, no. 2, February 1990, OXFORD GB pages 177 - 183 JAIN, S. K. ET AL. see page 177, column 2, paragraph 2- 3 ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION vol. 18, no. 2, February 1979, BARKING, ESSEK, ENGLAND pages 87 - 95 MENSER, H.A. ET AL. cited in the application are pages 88, last paragraph - page 89, paragraph 1 -/ *Special cuseomies for idea documents: 10 *A* document defining the general state of the art which is now considered to be of particular relevance or considered to be of particular relevance or considered in the application are relevance or considered in the principal state of the state of the state of the particular prevance or the state of the state of the particular prevance or the state of the particular prevance or the state of the state of the particular prevance or the state of the state of the particular prevance or the state of the state of the particular prevance or the state of the state of the particul	II. FIELDS	SEARCHED			
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT® Category® Citation of Document, II with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages II Relevant to Claim No. A WATER RESEARCH. vol. 24, no. 2, February 1990, OXFORD GB pages 177 - 183 JAIN, S. K. ET AL. see page 177, column 2, paragraph 2- 3 ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION vol. 18, no. 2, February 1979, BARKING, ESSEX, ENGLAND pages 87 - 95 MENSER, H. A. ET AL. cited in the application see page 88, last paragraph - page 89, paragraph 1 -/ *Special categories of cited documents 110 "A" document deficing the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance or considered to relevance to the pecular season of the considered considered to relevance to the pecular season of the considered considered to relevance to the pecular season of the considered considered to relevance to the pecular season of the considered considered to relevance to the considered c	·		Minimum Doc	umentation Searched?	
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are included in the Fliefs Searched* III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT* Caregory* Chation of Document, 11 with Indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12 Relevant to Claim No. A WATER RESEARCH. vol. 24, no. 2, February 1990, OXFORD GB pages 177 - 183 JAIN, S.K. ET AL. see page 177, column 2, paragraph 2- 3 ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION vol. 18, no. 2, February 1979, BARKING, ESSEX, ENGLAND pages 87 - 95 MENSER, H. A. ET AL. cited in the application see page 88, last paragraph - page 89, paragraph 1 -/ *Special categories of dttd documents: 10 *A* document defining the general state of the set which is not expected to be of particular relevance to a particular re	Classificati	ion System		Classification Symbols	
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT* Category* Citation of Document, 11* with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 12* A WATER RESEARCH. vol. 24, no. 2, February 1990, OXFORD GB pages 177 - 183 JAIN,S.K. ET AL. see page 177, column 2, paragraph 2- 3 ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION vol. 18, no. 2, February 1979, BARKING, ESSEX, ENGLAND pages 87 - 95 MENSER, H.A. ET AL. cited in the application see page 88, last paragraph - page 89, paragraph 1 / *Special categories of dited documents: 10* "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not conditioned to be of particular relevance to the processor of the condition and the condition of the special reason (as specified) "Comment referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other security date and not to condition the considered to be not provided in the condition of the considered to be of particular relevance; the childred inventor and the considered to particular relevance; the childred inventor and the considered to provide an inventor especial reason (as specified) "Comment referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other security state datamed inventor and the considered to particular relevance; the childred inventor and the considered to be considered to particular relevance; the childred inventor and the considered to be considered to be rown as an inventor especial reason (as specified) "Comment referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means." "F" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means." "F" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means." "F" document published prior to the international filing date but the second published prior to the international Search Beyort "A" document member of the same patent family *CERTIFICATION The of the Actual Completion of the international Search Beyort Table Table Table Tabl	Int.Cl.	. 5 ·	CO2F ; C22B		
**Special categories of cited documents: 10 **Special categories of cited documents: 10 **Special categories of cited documents: 10 **A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance of the categories of cited documents: 10 **A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance of the categories of cited documents: 10 **A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance of the categories of cited documents: 10 **A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance of the categories of cited documents: 10 **A document which may throw doubts on or after the international filing date or which is death or exhibit that the publication date of another other states or other special reason (as specified) **Comment spall fined prior to the international filing date but later than the princity date claimed invention among the considered to indevide an invention element is combination being obvious to a person killled that that the princity date claimed some particular relevance to the state or cannot be considered to invention cannot be considered to invent on the cannot be considered to invent on the constant of particular relevance the claimed invention cannot be considered to invent on the constant of particular relevance to the cannot be considered to invent on the constant of particular relevance to cannot be considered to invent on the constant of particular relevance to the cannot be considered to invent on the constant of particular relevance to the cannot be considered to invent on the constant of particular relevance to the cannot be considered to invent on the constant of particular relevance to the cannot be considered to invent on the constant of particular relevan					
**Special categories of cited documents: 10 **A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of paralication relevance cited to end paralication relev					
**Special categories of cited documents: 10 **Special categories of cited documents: 10 **Special categories of cited documents: 10 **Ar document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance to the special reason of a special categories which may throw doubts on priority calind; on or after the international citation or other special reason (as specifical or such as a considered to be of particular relevance to the special reason (as specifical or such as a considered to be of particular relevance to the special reason (as specifical or such as a considered to be of particular relevance) the calculation or other special reason (as specifical or such as a considered to be of particular relevance) the calculation or other special reason (as specifical or such as a considered to be of particular relevance) the calculation of considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed (ovenation cannot be considered now or or now other small) or other special reason (as specifical or such as a considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed (ovenation cannot be considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed (ovenation cannot be considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed (ovenation cannot be considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed (ovenation cannot be considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed (ovenation cannot be considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed (ovenation cannot be considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed (ovenation cannot be considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed (ovenation cannot be considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed (ovenation cannot be considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed (ovenation cannot be considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed (ovenation cannot be considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed (ovenation cannot be considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed (ovenation cannot be considered to be of pa					
vol. 24, no. 2, February 1990, OXFORD GB pages 177 - 183 JAIN,S.K. ET AL. see page 177, column 2, paragraph 2- 3 ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION vol. 18, no. 2, February 1979, BARKING, ESSEX, ENGLAND pages 87 - 95 MENSER, H.A. ET AL. cited in the application see page 88, last paragraph - page 89, paragraph 1 "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not conferred to be of particular relevance." "E eatily expert which may throw doubt on priority claim(s) or which is ched to establish the publication date of another chains or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to as a end disclosure, use, exhibition or other than the priority date claimed "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "O" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "O" document publishe	Caregory	CREMON OF DO	cument, " with indication, where appro	printe, of the relevant passages 12	Relevant to Claim No.13
ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTION vol. 18, no. 2, February 1979, BARKING, ESSEX, ENGLAND pages 87 - 95 MENSER, H.A. ET AL. cited in the application see page 88, last paragraph - page 89, paragraph 1 "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of paradiciar relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "I" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another chains or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "F" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "F" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date daimed V. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search 03 SEPTEMBER 1993 16.09.93 Signature of Authorized Officer	A	vol. 24, pages 17 JAIN,S.A	no. 2, February 199 77 - 183 K. ET AL.		1-4,10
Special cutegories of cited documents: 10 "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance of the principle of the principle or theory understand the publication and cited to understand the principle or theory understand the publication and the considered to be of particular relevance or the principle or theory understand the principle or the publication had cited to understand the principle or theory understand the principle of the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the focument of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the focument of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the focument of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the focument is combined with one or more other s		ENVIRONM vol. 18, BARKING, pages 87 MENSER,H cited in see page	IENTAL POLLUTION no. 2, February 1979 ESSEX,ENGLAND - 95 I.A. ET AL. the application 88, last paragraph	9,	1,5,7,10
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other secals reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "V. CERTIFICATION The of the Actual Completion of the International Search O3 SEPTEMBER 1993 The of Mailing of this International Search Report Date of Mailing of this International Search Report Signature of Authorized Officer		paragrap	·n 1	-/	
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search O3 SEPTEMBER 1993 1 6: 09. 93 atternational Searching Authority Signature of Authorized Officer	"A" documents of the constitution of the const	ment defining the general dered to be of particular decement but publis gate ment which may throw a be cited to establish too no or other special real ment referring to an or means ment published prior to than the priority date	ral state of the art which is not ar relevance bed on or after the international doubts on priority claim(s) or he publication date of another son (as specified) ral disclosure, use, exhibition or the international filing date but	or priority date and not in conflict with to cited to understand the principle or them invention "X" document of particular relevance; the classifier cannot be considered novel or cannot be involve an inventive step "Y" document of particular relevance; the classifier cannot be considered to involve an inventional comment is combined with one or more ments, such combination being obvious to the art.	he apalication but ry underlying the imed invention considered to lived invention live step when the other such docu- o a person skilled
03 SEPTEMBER 1993 16. 09. 93 Itemational Searching Authority Signature of Authorized Officer					
sternational Searching Authority Signature of Authorized Officer	ate of the Ac				rch Report
	sternational S		N PATENT OFFICE	Signature of Authorized Officer	L.

H. DOCUME!	VTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT (CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET)	
Category °	Citation of Document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to Claim No
	JOURNAL OF THE WATER POLLUTION CONTROL FEDERATION vol. 60, no. 7, July 1988, WASHINGTON US pages 1253 - 1258 ZIRSCHKY,J. ET AL. see page 1255, left column, paragraph 3	3,5,6
	DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 782, Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class CO3, AN 82-12440E & JP,A,5 700 190 (UTSUNOMIYA TAKASHI) 5 January 1982 cited in the application see abstract	1,2,4,5, 7,10
	·	
	· · ¥ ·	

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

U OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.